

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
8

9 _____)
10 GWENDOLYN CROCKRAN, on behalf of)
11 herself and as parent and guardian of her minor)
12 child, John Doe, and on behalf of all others)
13 similarly situated,)

14 Plaintiff,)

15 v.)

16 POWERSCHOOL HOLDINGS, INC.)
17)
18)

19 Defendant.)
20 _____)

Case No. 2:25-cv-00171-JDP

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER
EXTENDING TIME FOR
DEFENDANT TO ANSWER,
MOVE, OR OTHERWISE
RESPOND TO THE COMPLAINT**

21 The Court ORDERS as follows:

22 The time for Defendant to move, answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint shall be
23 extended until such time as the Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation (“JPML”) rules on the
24 pending motion before it in this case and the related actions (the “MDL Motion”).


25 If the pending MDL Motion seeking transfer for multidistrict litigation pretrial
26 proceedings is granted, the time for Defendant to move, answer, or otherwise respond to the
Complaint shall be extended until a consolidated scheduling order is entered by a transferee
court.

**~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR DEFENDANT TO ANSWER, MOVE, OR OTHERWISE RESPOND TO
THE COMPLAINT**

1 If the pending MDL Motion is denied, Defendant shall file a response to the complaint
2 within thirty days from the date of the order denying centralization.

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.
4

5 Dated: February 14, 2025
6


7 JEREMY D. PETERSON
8 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26